The Coherence Engine

Benjamin James

December 8, 2025

Abstract

The Coherence Engine presents a unified operational framework for understanding how physical, biological, cognitive, and social systems persist and adapt. It identifies coherence, recursive structural reinforcement, as the single invariant governing system viability across scales. Using minimal state representation and a universal update law, the framework models system evolution as the joint ascent of coherence gradients and descent of entropy gradients while preserving future adaptive capacity. The Spectrum of Possibility defines feasible trajectories, and Recursive Choice selects those maximizing coherence curvature under constraints of noise, leakage, and dimensional change. Diverse scientific domains emerge as instantiations of the same kernel with quantum processes as coherence-weighted transitions, information as coherence-weighted entropy, cognition as attractor navigation, evolution as coherence selection, and societal dynamics as shifts in multi-scale structural alignment. By making coherence computable and measurable, the Coherence Engine enables simulation, empirical testing, and principled design of systems that must remain viable under uncertainty.

Keywords: coherence, recursion, entropy, information, adaptation, emergence, dynamics, cognition, evolution, systems, neodynamics

Fragmented Models of Persistence

Across the sciences, each discipline rests on a distinct invariant assumed to govern the behavior of its systems. Physics relies on energy conservation, thermodynamics on entropy, information theory on uncertainty, economics on utility, evolutionary biology on fitness, and cognitive science on representational accuracy. These invariants succeed within their local domains but fail to generalize. None identify the deeper constraint that governs why any structure, physical, biological, cognitive, or social, persists across recursive scales. Their explanatory power collapses precisely where systems become adaptive, where structure must endure noise, reorganize under stress, or maintain viability across changing environments.

This fragmentation is not merely conceptual; it creates operational contradictions. Entropy predicts dissolution while biological systems generate increasing complexity. Probability theory assumes static sample spaces while organisms and societies expand and contract their possibility sets. Utility theory presumes fixed preferences while intelligent agents continually reshape the landscape in which those preferences operate. No existing invariant explains why coherence emerges, why it stabilizes, or why it fails.

What is missing is a unified operational principle capable of describing persistence, adaptation, and transformation through a single mechanism. Over the past decade, disparate fields have begun to show convergent evidence that the quantity determining system viability is not energy, entropy, or utility, but coherence, the degree to which a system reinforces its structural organization across recursive interactions. Until now, coherence remains implicit, scattered across theories of self-organization, complexity, emergence, and control. This paper aims to close that gap.

The Coherence Engine is a unified framework that replaces fragmented domain-specific invariants with a single operational kernel. The goal is not to propose another model but to identify the minimal and sufficient structure that underlies all persistent systems. By formally defining coherence, specifying the minimal state representation for any adaptive entity, and deriving a universal update law, I provide a foundation from which physics, biology, cognition, and society emerge as instantiations of a common dynamical process.

The Real Invariant, Coherence

Coherence is the fundamental quantity that determines whether a system can persist, adapt, and reorganize under changing conditions. It measures the degree to which a system reinforces its own structural organization across recursive interactions; how much of its present configuration stabilizes, compresses, or preserves the structure of its past and enables the viability of its future. Unlike domain-specific invariants such as energy, entropy, utility, or probability, coherence applies uniformly across physical, biological, cognitive, and social systems.

Formally, coherence is represented as a bounded scalar

$$C \in [0,1],$$

normalized to allow comparison across scales and substrates. This boundedness ensures that coherence behaves consistently whether describing quantum superpositions, neural dynamics, ecological networks, or institutional structures. The invariance of C under scale transformations means that nested systems, such as cells within tissues, individuals within societies, or modules within algorithms, can be evaluated by the same metric without loss of meaning.

Coherence is empirically estimable. Compression-based approximations capture the degree to which a system's configuration contains recursively recoverable structure. Systems with high redundancy and stable organization yield shorter descriptions, while systems dominated by noise or fragmentation require longer ones. This empirical grounding distinguishes coherence from abstract theoretical constructs and enables its use as a measurable state variable in simulation, inference, and prediction.

Systems that fall below a lower coherence threshold are dominated by noise. Their structure cannot reproduce itself reliably across iterations, and small perturbations propagate uncontrollably. Conversely, systems that exceed an upper threshold become rigid. Their internal organization cannot adjust to entropy gradients, new information, or environmental shifts, and they lose the capacity to reorganize when necessary. Adaptive systems occupy a viable band between these extremes, where coherence is sufficiently high to preserve identity but sufficiently low to allow transformation.

Within this band, coherence becomes the primary determinant of persistence. It shapes the system's effective possibility space, guides its response to perturbation, and governs its capacity to maintain structural relevance across time and scale. All subsequent components of the Coherence Engine, state representation, the universal update law, the spectrum of possibility, and recursive choice, operate in service of estimating, preserving, and optimizing this invariant.

System Representation

To describe any adaptive system within the Coherence Engine, it is necessary to specify only five quantities. These form the minimal state representation:

$$S = (X, C, \Omega, \eta, \lambda)$$

where:

- X is the system's structural configuration, its patterns, organization, and degrees of freedom at the current time step.
- C is the coherence density associated with X, quantifying how reliably the system reinforces its structure across recursive interactions.
- Ω is the Spectrum of Possibility, the set of feasible trajectories the system can take from its current configuration, determined by internal constraints, environmental conditions, and emergent potentials.
- η is the system's responsiveness, measuring how strongly it reacts to entropy gradients and feedback. High η supports rapid adaptation; low η stabilizes against perturbation.
- λ is the leakage rate, quantifying how quickly coherence is lost due to noise, dissipation, or structural fragility.

This tuple is necessary and sufficient for operationalizing the Coherence Engine.

It encodes the *structure* of the system (X), the *invariant* governing persistence (C), the *landscape* of available adaptations (Ω), the *capacity* for adjustment (η), and the *erosion forces* acting against stability (λ).

Nothing outside this representation is required for the universal update law to generate system evolution. When these variables are specified, the system's dynamics follow directly, whether the substrate is a quantum state, an organism, a neural circuit, a market, or a symbolic ecology.

The Universal Update Law

All adaptive systems, regardless of substrate or scale, evolve according to a single recursive relation:

$$X_{t+1} = X_t + F(\nabla C, \nabla S, \Omega, \eta, \lambda),$$

where the update function F specifies how the system modifies its structure in response to coherence gradients, entropy dynamics, environmental constraints, and internal limitations. This equation defines the most general form of adaptive evolution, where a system updates its configuration by moving toward states that reinforce its structure while avoiding those that dissipate it.

The function F encodes six necessary and sufficient operations:

1. *Ascent along coherence gradients:* The system preferentially moves in directions where coherence increases, stabilizing and reinforcing patterns that enhance persistence.
2. *Descent along entropy gradients:* The system avoids directions of rising entropy except where exploration or structural flexibility demands it, preserving compressible structure.
3. *Preservation of future option space:* Updates that collapse the Spectrum of Possibility are penalized; the system maintains degrees of freedom necessary for long-term viability.
4. *Selection of trajectories maximizing coherence curvature:* Among feasible trajectories, the system chooses those that maximize the second derivative of coherence over time, favoring paths that yield accelerating structural stability.

5. *Pruning of incoherent or destabilizing states:* States that reduce coherence while expanding noise-dominated option space are eliminated, preventing drift into disorder or maladaptive rigidity.
6. *Adaptive transitions in dimensionality under stress:* When coherence gradients flatten or destabilize, the system may expand or contract its effective dimensionality, reorganizing the degrees of freedom available to it.

This single equation subsumes multiple prior principles, including the persistence equation, the adaptive trajectory law, recursive arbitration, and pruning logic, into one coherent operational step. It is the minimal rule set needed to describe how systems maintain identity, adapt to shifting environments, and navigate uncertainty while preserving long-term viability. Through this update law, the Coherence Engine reduces the complexity of adaptive behavior to a single recursive mechanism that operates uniformly across physics, information, cognition, biology, and society.

The Spectrum of Possibility

The *Spectrum of Possibility*, denoted Ω, defines the set of trajectories available to a system from its current state. It is not a fixed or static landscape. Instead, it is a dynamic, recursively updated structure determined by the interplay of thermodynamic limits, informational constraints, environmental conditions, and the system's internal organization.

At any moment, Ω contains only those trajectories for which coherence can, in principle, increase. This bounds adaptation; a system cannot move into states that violate energetic feasibility, collapse structural integrity, or exceed the variety needed to remain viable. Ω is not merely restrictive. It expands whenever emergent order parameters arise, such as new patterns, synergetic interactions, or reorganizations that create additional degrees of freedom. Increases in environmental complexity, access to energy, or improvements in structural coordination all enlarge the possibility set.

Conversely, Ω contracts when coherence decays, when noise overwhelms structure, or when external constraints reduce the system's viable degrees of freedom. This shrinkage

signals reduced adaptive capacity and often precedes critical transitions, stagnation, or collapse.

Because Ω is shaped jointly by internal coherence and external entropy gradients, it changes continuously. The system's responsiveness parameter η governs how rapidly it updates Ω, determining whether it adapts fluidly to new conditions or resists adjustment for stability.

Recursive Choice operates directly upon this spectrum. At each iteration, it evaluates trajectories within Ω for their projected impact on coherence curvature and long-term viability. As the system acts, receives feedback, and encounters perturbation, Ω is re-estimated, producing a real-time map of adaptive potential that constrains and enables future behavior.

The Spectrum of Possibility is the evolving boundary of what the system can become. It is neither deterministic nor arbitrary, but an emergent structure shaped by coherence, constrained by entropy, and refined through recursive interaction.

Recursive Choice

Recursive Choice is the mechanism by which a system actively navigates its Spectrum of Possibility Ω. At each iteration, the system evaluates the trajectories available within Ω by estimating their projected impact on future coherence. Rather than selecting the path with the greatest immediate increase in C, Recursive Choice selects trajectories with the steepest positive coherence curvature, those that accelerate structural reinforcement rather than merely improve it locally.

Formally, each candidate trajectory is assessed by the second derivative of coherence with respect to time, penalized by expected leakage and entropy exposure. This prioritizes paths that not only stabilize the present configuration but also expand or preserve future viability.

Because Ω contains trajectories that vary in risk, dimensionality, and informational cost, Recursive Choice must balance exploration and exploitation. Too little variation causes the system to lock into over-stable configurations, losing the capacity to respond to perturbation. Too much variation disperses coherence, exposing the system to noise, drift, and structural failure. Recursive Choice optimizes this balance by selecting actions that

raise coherence while maintaining options, ensuring the system can adapt without collapsing into chaos or stagnation.

This mechanism couples local adjustments to global coherence. Every update is evaluated not only for its immediate effect but for how it propagates across nested scales of structure, molecular to organismic, cognitive to cultural, and microeconomic to geopolitical. Recursive Choice thereby ensures that the system's evolution remains aligned across scales, allowing persistence through perturbation, stress, and uncertainty.

In essence, Recursive Choice is the engine of adaptive intelligence. It transforms the static geometry of Ω into a dynamic process, continuously refining which futures remain viable and guiding the system toward those that enhance long-term structural coherence.

Domain Instantiations

The Coherence Engine provides a single operational kernel from which diverse scientific domains emerge as special cases. Each domain applies the same update law, the same coherence invariant, and the same adaptive logic to different substrates, constraints, and scales.

Physics

Quantum measurement, decoherence, and cosmic evolution become coherence-weighted state transitions. Wavefunctions evolve along coherence gradients; measurement corresponds to the selection of trajectories maximizing coherence under environmental constraints. Large-scale structure forms through recursive stabilization of coherent configurations.

Information & Communication

Coherence-weighted entropy replaces Shannon entropy, distinguishing meaningful structure from noise. Channel capacity, compression, and inference become functions of preserving coherence under transmission and transformation, not merely reducing uncertainty.

Cognition

Thought emerges as attractor navigation in coherence space. Perception, memory, and reasoning correspond to recursive updates of X that stabilize internal coherence. Consciousness arises as the modulation layer that maintains coherence across self-referential loops.

Evolution

Natural selection becomes coherence selection; lineages persist when they sustain structural integrity across generations and environments. Speciation emerges as bifurcations in coherence landscapes, where new attractors form and stabilize under recursive feedback.

Ethics

Moral judgment becomes multi-scale coherence preservation. Ethical actions are those that increase coherence across individual, relational, and systemic levels while maintaining future adaptive capacity.

Society

Cultural systems evolve under coherence-driven constraints. Institutions persist when they maintain internal and inter-scale coherence; stagnation occurs when symbolic structures become over-stable and disconnect from environmental feedback, a condition of symbolic lock-in.

Across all these domains, the same kernel applies; *systems evolve by maximizing coherence curvature while remaining within viable entropy and possibility bounds*. Differences arise only from the state variables and constraints specific to each substrate, not from the underlying logic of persistence.

Operationalization

The Coherence Engine is not only a theoretical construct; it is directly computable. A minimal simulation requires four components:

A Coherence field

The system is represented as a field in which each point or configuration carries a coherence value C. This field evolves according to the universal update law, allowing coherence gradients and entropy gradients to shape the trajectory of the system.

Agents or structures navigating Ω

Systems situated within the coherence field evaluate the Spectrum of Possibility Ω at each step. Agents may represent physical particles, biological organisms, cognitive states, or institutional configurations, each updating according to the same recursive rule.

Recursive updates under noise

Perturbations, stochastic fluctuations, and boundary drift are introduced at every iteration. The system responds by adjusting its structure using Recursive Choice, enabling the study of robustness, fragility, adaptation, and failure modes under varying levels and types of noise.

Coherence curves tracking system viability:

The evolution of coherence over time provides real-time diagnostics of system health. Rising curves indicate structural reinforcement and adaptive alignment; falling curves indicate entropy accumulation, rigidity, or imminent collapse. These metrics allow comparison across systems, substrates, and parameter settings.

Together, these components enable empirical testing and exploration of the Coherence Engine's predictions. Simulations can vary the dimensionality of X, the responsiveness parameter η, the leakage rate λ, or the shape of Ω to examine how adaptive capacity changes with environment, stress, or structural constraints. This provides a unified platform for

studying artificial agents, biological evolution, social dynamics, cognitive processes, and physical phenomena using a single operational framework.

By making coherence dynamics computable, the Coherence Engine transitions from theory to practice. It becomes a tool for hypothesis generation, model comparison, system design, and the empirical evaluation of persistence across scales.

Implications & Falsifiable Predictions

Because the Coherence Engine defines explicit metrics, gradients, and update rules, it produces concrete and testable predictions across multiple scientific domains. These predictions arise from the operational structure of the coherence invariant and its interaction with entropy, noise, and the Spectrum of Possibility.

Coherence-weighted compression will outperform Shannon-optimal models.

When applied to biological sequences, linguistic corpora, or neural time series, coherence-weighted entropy should yield shorter, more faithful compression than models based solely on symbol frequency. This follows from the Engine's prediction that meaningful structure is distinguished from noise by coherence weights, not by probability distributions alone.

Neural coherence fluctuations will precede transitions in conscious state.

The Coherence Engine predicts that shifts in attention, perception, or awareness occur only after coherence gradients cross a threshold, not simply when neural firing rates or oscillatory frequencies change. Empirical tests should show coherence changes occurring before reported or behaviorally measurable cognitive transitions.

Ecosystems, economies, and institutions will exhibit critical transitions at coherence thresholds.

Systems should remain stable while coherence stays within the viable band, destabilize when coherence decays, and reorganize when coherence curvature changes sign. Leading indicators of collapse or transformation will therefore appear as declines in structural

coherence rather than as changes in standard economic, ecological, or demographic metrics.

Quantum systems governed by coherence-weighted dynamics will deviate from Born-rule predictions under controlled conditions.
If measurement outcomes reflect coherence-weighted state selection rather than purely amplitude-squared probabilities, then interference experiments with tunable environmental coherence should produce detectable deviations from the Born rule. These deviations should occur only under regimes where coherence gradients are altered but standard decoherence conditions remain unchanged.

Together, these predictions provide experimentally accessible leverage points for validating or falsifying the Coherence Engine. They span disciplines yet share a common structure; coherence dynamics must manifest in measurable behaviors of physical, biological, cognitive, and social systems if the Engine accurately describes the underlying mechanism of persistence.

Conclusion

The Coherence Engine unifies previously fragmented accounts of persistence, adaptation, and transformation into a single operational framework. By identifying coherence as the fundamental invariant and formalizing the minimal state representation and universal update law governing system evolution, it provides a common structure from which the dynamics of physics, information, cognition, evolution, ethics, and society can be derived. This synthesis requires no domain-specific assumptions; it arises directly from the logic of recursive stability under entropy and uncertainty.
With one invariant, one update rule, and one adaptive architecture, the Coherence Engine establishes a coherent foundation for understanding how systems maintain identity, reorganize under stress, and navigate expanding or contracting possibility spaces. It offers a framework for analysis, simulation, and empirical testing across scales, enabling the

comparison and design of systems through their coherence dynamics rather than through domain-bound conceptual vocabularies.

By making coherence operational and computable, the Coherence Engine transforms persistence from a descriptive phenomenon into a formally grounded mechanism. It provides not only a unified lens on existing systems but a principled method for engineering new ones, biological, artificial, cognitive, or social, that must remain viable under changing conditions. This work thus transitions coherence from fragmented theories to a single, scale-consistent model of adaptive reality.

Appendix, The Formal Kernel

This appendix provides the necessary and sufficient formal structure of the Coherence Engine. All adaptive dynamics described in the main text are instantiations of this kernel. No additional axioms or assumptions are required.

Coherence Metric

Coherence is defined as a bounded, scale-invariant measure of recursive structural reinforcement:

$$C = \sigma\left(\frac{K(X_t) - K(X_{t+1})}{K(X_t)}\right),$$

where:

- $K(\cdot)$ is a compression-based complexity estimator,
- σ is a sigmoidal normalization mapping to $[0, 1]$,
- X_t is the structural configuration at time t.

Coherence increases when structure becomes more recursively predictable and decreases when structure fragments or dissipates.

Minimal System Representation

Every adaptive system is represented as:

$$S = (X, C, \Omega, \eta, \lambda),$$

with components:

- X current structural configuration
- $C = C(X)$ coherence density
- Ω Spectrum of Possibility
- $\eta \in [0,1]$ responsiveness
- $\lambda \geq 0$ leakage rate

This tuple is minimal and complete for the universal update law.

Entropy and Coherence Gradients

Entropy:

$$S(X) = \log |X| - \log |f(X)|,$$

where $f(X)$ is the compressive image of X.

Coherence gradient:

$$\nabla C = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{C(X + \epsilon v) - C(X)}{\epsilon} v.$$

Entropy gradient:

$$\nabla S = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{S(X + \epsilon v) - S(X)}{\epsilon} v.$$

Gradients determine the direction and magnitude of structural change.

The Universal Update Law

All system evolution is governed by:

$$X_{t+1} = X_t + F(\nabla C, \nabla S, \Omega, \eta, \lambda),$$

with the update function decomposed as:

$$F = \eta \nabla C - \nabla S - \lambda C + \mathcal{N}(t) + \mathcal{D}(t),$$

where:

- $\mathcal{N}(t)$ is stochastic perturbation (arbitrary noise distributions permitted),
- $\mathcal{D}(t)$ is dimensional transition (expansion or contraction of degrees of freedom).

This equation unifies persistence, adaptation, pruning, and phase transitions.

Spectrum of Possibility Ω

The Spectrum of Possibility is defined as:

$$\Omega = \{X' : C(X') > C(X) \text{ and } X' \text{ is thermodynamically, structurally, and informationally feasible}\}.$$

This set expands through emergence and contracts under coherence loss or increasing entropy.

Recursive Choice (Arbitration)

The selected trajectory A^* maximizes coherence curvature:

$$A^* = \arg\max_{A \in \Omega} \left(\frac{d^2 C_A}{dt^2} - \lambda C_A \right),$$

subject to the viability constraint:

$$\Omega(A^*) \neq \emptyset.$$

This balances coherence gain with preservation of adaptive option space.

Pruning

A structural component or trajectory is pruned if and only if:

$$\Delta C < 0 \ \wedge \ \frac{d\Omega}{dt} > 0.$$

Pruning removes incoherent structure only when doing so increases future adaptive capacity.

Coherence Information Theory

Coherence entropy:

$$H_C(X) = -\sum_x p(x) w(x) \log p(x),$$

where coherence weights $w(x)$ satisfy:

$$w(x) = \mu(C_x),$$

with μ a monotonic mapping from coherence to relevance.

Coherence mutual information:

$$I_C(X;Y) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y)\, w(x) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)}.$$

Channel capacity:

$$C_C = \max_{p(x)} I_C(X;Y).$$

CIT distinguishes meaningful structure from noise.

Domain Instantiation Condition

A mapping ϕ from the generic state X to any domain-specific representation X_{domain} is valid if and only if:

$$C(X) = C(\phi(X)),$$

up to monotonic transformation.

This condition ensures coherence-preserving translation across physics, information, cognition, evolution, ethics, and society.

References

James, B. (2024). Achieving Coherence: Modeling Complexity in Dynamic Systems. Kindle Direct. Audible. https://philpapers.org/rec/JAMACM-3

James, B. (2025). Formal Foundations of Adaptive Coherence: A Recursive Metric of Reality. PhilPapers. https://philpapers.org/rec/JAMFFO

James, B. (2025). Formal Foundations of Coherence Information Theory: Capacity and Compression Theorems. PhilPapers. https://philpapers.org/rec/JAMFFO-2

James, B. (2024). NEODYNAMICS: or adaptation and coherence in the universe and humanity. Kindle Direct. Audible. https://philpapers.org/rec/JAMNOA-2

James, B. (2024). The Unified Field of Adaptive Potential: Towards a Necessary and Sufficient Universal Theory of Adaptation. PhilPapers. https://philpapers.org/archive/JAMTUF.pdf